Software Engineering for Artificial Intelligence

Basics and Challenges of Intelligent Systems

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT



Outline

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Why SE4AI?
- What is an Intelligent Systems?
- When to Build Intelligent Systems?
- Challenges of Intelligent Systems
  - Good Goals
  - SE Workflow
  - Technical Debt

Outline

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- **Why SE4AI?**
- What is an Intelligent Systems?
- When to Build Intelligent Systems?
- Challenges of Intelligent Systems
  - Good Goals
  - SE Workflow
  - Technical Debt

Example: Translation Service

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

AcaWhooo!

The „Google Translate“ for scientific text.

Example: IoT Device

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Toastalicious

Just perfect toast. Always.

From Data Science to Production

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- A **data scientist** can build our program, but…
  - They are experts in modeling and feature engineering
  - They are used to fixed datasets and focus heavily on accuracy.
  - They prototype (Jupyter notebooks, etc.)
  - What about stability, size, updateability and more?
- A **software engineer** is focused on production grade software
  - Concerned about many different kinds of product quality

We need both!

Different Thinking
Deductive and Inductive Reasoning



(Daniel Miessler, under CC SA 2.0)

SE	AI
Deductive R.	Inductive R.
Specifications	Overall goals
Guarantess	Best effort

Practice tells different!

- Specifications are often vague, weak, ambiguous, ...
- Correctness proves rarely performed

→ **SE developed suitable methods**

Outline

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Why SE4AI?
- **What is an Intelligent Systems?**
- When to Build Intelligent Systems?
- Challenges of Intelligent Systems
  - Good Goals
  - SE Workflow
  - Technical Debt

Intelligent Systems [2]

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Successful Intelligent Systems have:

Objective
- Meaningful to user
- Achievable

Experience

Intelligence Creation
- Through anything from simple heuristics to complex ML

Intelligent Experience [2]

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Achieve system‘s objective
- Present intelligence to users
  - Balance quality with forcefulness
  - Key actions: automate, prompt, organize and annotate
- Minimize intelligence flaws
  - Experience can avoid risky decisions
  - Experience can control the number of user interactions
  - Experience can use less forceful actions in uncertain situations
- Create data for system growth
  - Experience must know the interaction context, the action taken by the user, and the outcome

Intelligent Systems [2]

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Successful Intelligent Systems have:

Objective
- Meaningful to user
- Achievable

Implementation

Experience
- Presents output to the user
- Minimizes mistakes
- Must collect feedback

Intelligence Creation
- Through anything from simple heuristics to complex ML

Orchestration
- Controlling system changes
- Keep experience in sync with intelligence quality
- Involves dealing with mistakes, controlling risks and defusing abuse

Intelligence Implementation [2]

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Intelligence Runtime: executes the intelligence and gathers the context of the interaction
- Intelligence Management: deploying new versions of the intelligence
- Monitoring and Telemetry Pipeline: what and how to observe, sample, and summarize what is going on
- Intelligence Creation Environment: intelligence creator must be able to recreate runtime context to create accurate intelligence
- Intelligence Orchestration: controlling the system, i.e., when the intelligence evolves, runs into problems

Intelligent Systems [2]

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Successful Intelligent Systems have:

Objective
- Meaningful to user
- Achievable

Implementation
- Executing system
- Includes telemetry and feedback

Experience
- Presents output to the user
- Minimizes mistakes
- Must collect feedback

Intelligence Creation
- Through anything from simple heuristics to complex ML

Orchestration
- Controlling system changes
- Keep experience in sync with intelligence quality
- Involves dealing with mistakes, controlling risks and defusing abuse

Outline

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Why SE4AI?
- What is an Intelligent Systems?
- **When to Build Intelligent Systems?**
- Challenges of Intelligent Systems
  - Good Goals
  - SE Workflow
  - Technical Debt

When to Build Intelligent Systems [2]

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Intelligent systems should be only used for complex problems

- Complex problems: *big, open-ended, time-changing* or *intrinsically hard*
- Requirements for intelligent systems
  - Partial solution must be viable and interesting
  - Usage data must be recordable (to improve the system)
  - Ability to influence meaningful objective
    - Objective should be *directly* and *quickly* affectable; taken actions should be *measurable* in the outcome
  - Problem must justify effort
    - Intelligence creation in intelligent systems is cheaper than with other methods, but the overhead is still very expensive

Outline

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Why SE4AI?
- What is an Intelligent Systems?
- When to Build Intelligent Systems?
- **Challenges of Intelligent Systems**
  - Good Goals
  - SE Workflow
  - Technical Debt

Challenges

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

ML challenges remain, but the SE challenges of intelligent systems are much broader

General ML Challenges [1]

- Insufficient Quantity of Training Data
- Nonrepresentative Training Data
- Poor-Quality Data
- Irrelevant Features
- Overfitting the Training Data
- Underfitting the Training Data

Challenges: Good Goals [2]



Challenges: Good Goals [2]

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- **Communicate desired outcome** to everyone with clear importance and understanding of success
- **Are achievable,** meaning there is an explainable approach and a likely chance of success
- **Are measurable**, optimizing for non-measurable goals is impossible

Abstract goals — Very concrete

organizational objectives | leading indicators | user outcomes | model properties

Effective goal sets tie usually goals of various types together

SE Workflow [4]

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Case study at Microsoft: 9 stages ML workflow with big feedback loops



- Big difference to „traditional software“: Very data centric & more loops

SE Workflow: Fundamental Differences [4]

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- SE is about code, ML is about data
  - Software has specifications, datasets usually do not
  - Specifications change rarely, data schemas may change very frequently
  - No mature tools for data versioning and meta-data management, while for code these systems exist
- Customization and reuse of models is harder than of code
  - Even a slight variation in the usage scenario may require deep changes to the model, training data or the executing system
- Modularity in ML and strict boundaries between models are difficult
  - Models are not easily extensible
  - Models interact in non-obvious ways: model results affect others training and tuning processes; isolated development is hard

Technical Debt

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- SE is all about making **qualified decisions** based on tradeoffs
- Sometimes decision are knowingly taken, which are good in the short run, but will cause more work in the future: „technical debt“

https://www.monkeyuser.com/2018/tech-debt/
(accessed on 04.05.2020)

Technical Debt

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Sources of technical debt are ubiquitous in today‘s ML



https://xkcd.com/2054/ (accessed in 04.05.2020)

Hidden Technical Debt in ML Systems [3]
1. Model Complexity

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Entanglement: ML mixes many different external and internal signales; isolated improvement is impossible, wherefore changes are expensive
- Correction Cascades: For reuse it is tempting to add a new tiny AI on top of a existing one, but this makes analysis and improvement much more expensive
- Undeclared Consumers: Opening AI results is great for re-use, but makes overall progress much more expensive

Hidden Technical Debt in ML Systems [3]
2. Data Dependencies

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Data dependencies cause **dependency debt**, which is hard to detect; code dependencies are easily traceable through static analysis
- Unstable Data Dependencies: Some sources might vary in quality and quantity
- Underutilized Data Dependencies: Some data sources might not really be relevant to the outcome of the intelligence, however, they still increase complexity



Hidden Technical Debt in ML Systems [3]
3. Feedback Loops

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Intelligent systems often influence their own behavior through feedback loops
- Causes **analysis debt**: behavior after release is hard to predict, if it depends on the execution
- Direct Feedback Loops: Explicitly build in loops, e.g., for selection of future training data
- Hidden Feedback Loops: Implicit feedback loops, e.g., through reactions of users
  - Example: ML-based stock market agents: developed separately, but through shared market they influence each other and themselves

Hidden Technical Debt in ML Systems [3]
4. Others

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Anti-patterns
  - Glue Code: big support code makes the system heavy
  - Pipeline Jungles: special kind of glue code; expensive to test
  - Dead Experimental Codepaths: common source of sudden errors
- Common Smells
  - Plain-old-data type smell
  - Multiple-language smell: Increases testing complexity and makes ownership transition often harder
  - Prototype smell

Summary

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- We need to combine both Data Science and SE



- Intelligent systems connect AI and users: Objective, intelligence creation, experience, orchestration, and implementation



- Intelligent systems should be used for complex problems



- Challenges include the definition of goals, differences between SE methods, and ubiquitous sources of technical debt



Literature

- [1] Géron, Aurélien. **Hands-on machine learning with Scikit-Learn, Keras, and TensorFlow: Concepts, Tools, and Techniques to Build Intelligent Systems**. 2nd edition. O'Reilly. 2019. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ulbdarmstadt/detail.action?docID=5892320
- [2] Chapter 1, 2, 4, 5 and 11 of Hulten, Geoff. **Building Intelligent Systems: A Guide to Machine Learning Engineering**. Apress. 2018. https://hds.hebis.de/ulbda/Record/HEB461642786
- [3] Sculley, David, Gary Holt, Daniel Golovin, Eugene Davydov, Todd Phillips, Dietmar Ebner, Vinay Chaudhary, Michael Young, Jean-Francois Crespo, and Dan Dennison. **Hidden Technical Debt in Machine Learning Systems**. In Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems - Volume 2, pp. 2503 - 2511. 2015. http://papers.nips.cc/paper/5656-hidden-technical-debt-in-machine-learning-systems.pdf
- [4] Amershi, Saleema, Andrew Begel, Christian Bird, Robert DeLine, Harald Gall, Ece Kamar, Nachiappan Nagappan, Besmira Nushi, and Thomas Zimmermann. **Software Engineering for Machine Learning: A Case Study**. In 2019 IEEE/ACM 41st International Conference on Software Engineering: Software Engineering in Practice (ICSE-SEIP), pp. 291-300. 2019. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8804457

Acknowledgements & License

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Material Design Icons, by Google under Apache-2.0
- Other images are either by the authors of these slides, attributed where they are used, or licensed under Pixabay or Pexels
- These slides are made available by the author (Daniel Sokolowski) under CC BY 4.0